INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS COMMITTEE MINUTES

May 2, 2017, 4:00-5:00 p.m. in the Boardroom

Present: Ian Coronado, Craig Taylor, Molloy Wilson, Dawn DeWolf, Karen Ash, Jen Steele, Anne McGrail, Brian Kelly, Ce Rosenow, Rosa Lopez, Matt Danskine, Tammy Salman (by position).
Absent: LCCEA Union Representative (Vacant), Faculty Council Representative (Vacant), Christine Andrews, Lesley Stine, Kate Sullivan, Mary Parthemer, LCCEF Union Representative (Vacant).
Notetaker: Anna Kate Malliris
Guest(s): Aliscia Niles

Item		Notes
Agenda & Minutes	•	Agenda approved without change
Approval	•	March 7 th minutes – postponed approval
Leadership teams	•	Discussion of roles of the lead team and how to involve all stakeholders
and alignment with		• Handout #1
strategic direction		 IEC Core Theme leads may not be closely connected to the people who are charged with
teams (Steele		supporting the work to move the dial on the indicators
		 Starting point is to identify the appropriate staff to participate
	•	Role of leads:
		• Involve assembling a group of people (temporary workgroup) to look at the results of the data
		on the core theme indicators to make meaning of the data: what is (not) working, where we are
		in relation to the goal, and talk to the people who are charged with doing the work reflected in
		the core theme indicators. Create broader engagement and lead conversations about the data and
		its meaning.
		• There will need to be a calendar, data will need to be identified and collected, and conversations
		will have to happen as part of the planning cycle. The goal would be to have a mission
		fulfillment report at the end of each fall.
		• IEC looks at results at the highest level and determines whether we are fulfilling our mission;
		we would need to discuss what constitutes meeting the threshold for meeting a standard.
	•	IEC commits to aligning Core Theme Leads with Strategic Directions Leads. Vote: Approved.
	•	Data is being identified and some collected and being shared back and forth with people but there is not

	systematic method for making this available to view. For the committee, Wilson will make a folder on		
	the shared drive to provide some of the data collected so far.		
ATD- ICAT and	• Student Success Model (Pilot/Draft) - Handout #2 – Email additional feedback		
Task Force Structure (Niles)	 ATD has relaunched at a national level in response to community college success being really in silos and not scalable Relaunched 7 capacities that you saw in the ICAT Lane is looking to relaunch too based on the feedback that ATD was isolated and was not supporting what Lane was already doing. Took Walla Walla CC's work because it is functioning and can be adapted to Lane Community College Based in the IEC so that it can be embedded in the work of the college Still in the development of a smaller core team (Frei, Niles, Herburger, and others recommendations) that works with IEC, and is integrated into student success, with larger engagement through taskforce work around specific initiatives. This will allow broad 		
	 participation without overloading people by long term commitments Looking for alignment with Core Themes and Strategic Directions. The Strategic Directions would also have an overlap with the ATD 7 capacities. Choosing 2-3 initiatives (preferably ones that are already up and running as pilot projects) that are most scalable would be the focus. IEC commits to embrace this Student Success Model as a pilot and the IEC may want to look at how to align the ATD work with mission fulfillment. <i>Vote: Approved.</i> Might start with looking at the ICAT to see where the college is and how to move the work forward. IEC will oversee the work to assure that it is integrated in the work. Involvement of IEC depends on the 		
Update on	capacity of the committee to engage.		
Assessment System graphic (Coronado)	• Ian will be working on the IEC graphic to make it easier to digest.		
Institutional	Examples of reports from other institutions:		
Effectiveness/Missio	o <u>http://www.camdencc.edu/academics/Assessment/upload/Institutional-Effectiveness-Plan-2016-</u>		
n Fulfillment Reports	<u>2020.pdf</u>		
Examples for review	o <u>https://www.smc.edu/EnrollmentDevelopment/InstitutionalResearch/Documents/2013%20Instit</u>		
	utional%20Effectiveness%20Report%20Final.pdf		
	• <u>https://www.socc.edu/ie</u> • <u>https://www.shorpline.edu/ebout.shorpline/board/documents/crossial_mosting_ougust</u>		
	 <u>https://www.shoreline.edu/about-shoreline/board/documents/special-meeting-august-</u> 2012/mission-fulfillment-tab1.pdf 		
	2012/1008001-1001001001001000000000000000000		

	 Need to start thinking about the format that we want to use for the annual report starting this fall. The scope of the report is varied: Strategic Directions and Core Themes, Core Themes at a minimum- we get to decide. Subcommittee to look at examples and sketch an outline to look at: DeWolf, Steele, Rosenow, & Salman
Next Meeting	June 6, 2017, from 3:30-5:00 p.m. in Boardroom