## Technology Council Agenda
3:00pm to 4:00pm

### April 20, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tech Council Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Seek approval for Meeting Minutes for Mar 2nd, 2016 meeting (3 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Any additions to today’s agenda? (2 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● State Archives Visit - see your email (1 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Information: Joseph Colton is working on ways to make Student Evaluations more compatible with Smart phones (1 min)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resources:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tech Council Work plan 2016</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tactical Initiatives - Technology Plan 2016-17</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Planned Topics:**
- Emerging Strategic Directions Discussion with the IEC (20 to 30 min)
- Review and vote on the 10 suggestions for more efficient and effective Tech Council meetings (10 min)
  - Agenda should be sent out 48 hours in advance with a request for additional items
  - If the Agenda is not sent out at least 24 hours in advance we cancel the meeting
  - The agenda should be approved at the beginning of the meeting, if any changes need to be made they should be agreed upon by the council at this time
  - Each agenda item should have a discussion time associated with it. If we need to go beyond that time, we should all agree to do so, or move on to the next agenda item.
  - At the end of each meeting we should build at least a basic outline of the agenda for our next meeting, perhaps by looking at our workplan as well as items suggested by council members
  - The chair should make an effort to ensure that everyone that wishes to speak has a chance to voice their opinion on an item
  - People should be able to speak without interruption, as long as they are not dominating the conversation and taking time from others.
  - Sometimes, it is ok to have two members with differing perspectives engage in healthy debate, rather than forcing everyone to take turns, as the back and forth exchange can be rich with information and can help clarify one’s thoughts.
  - The meeting time should be honored, unless the council agrees to extend a discussion.
● Votes or other appropriate decisions should be made at the end of each agenda item so that we all agree as to where each item stands. For consensus voting thumbs, up, down, or sideways works great

• Any workgroup reports (10 min)
• Security policy feedback  Data Security Policy  (10 minutes)
• Make agenda for next time (10 minutes)

Future Topics:

● Review  Student Communications Policy  (10 min)
● Review  Employee Communications Policy  (10 min)
  ○ Does the policy make it clear why we have this policy? - should mention FERPA specifically in the precise area it applies only
  ○ Finalize wording and agree to push it to College Council
  ○ clarify whether the state has a professional activities exemption from personal gain. Tony will do this.
  ○ add “who can use the account” warning to the policy
● Report back on OER subcommittee: (Ian, Tony, Linda) (5 min)
  ○ Goal: Getting the OER indicator on classes that use OERs
    ■ Who will Identify which CRNs are using OERs? How?
    ■ Who will get the information into Banner? When?
    ■ When will this be completed?
    ■ How will students be able to identify OER courses?
    ■ How will we get the word out to students?
  ○ what can students do to help?
● Next steps on GroupWise Email Survey - update on results to date  (5 min)
  ○ Any feedback from Jim Salt and/or Bob Baldwin?
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