Student Affairs Council Minutes November 4, 2016 1:00-3:00pm in the Boardroom

Attending: Bobby Kirkpatrick, Kristina Holton, Kerry Levett, Carl Yeh, Susan Norton, Bob Baldwin, Christina Walsh **Excused:** Casey Reid, Fiora Starchild, Tim Bruno, **Recorder:** Leanne Guthrie

Agenda Item	Notes	Actions
Recorder for the day	•	
Past meeting minutes	• Minutes from October 21, 2016 are not available and will be included in the November 18, 2016 meeting packet.	Next meeting 11.18.16
Agenda Changes	 Review for changes Approve	•
Work plan review and development	 Consideration of items for 2016-2017 Recommendation to divide up the work among members SAC Strategic plan assignments (refer to SAC Workplan draft) need to deal with overarching questions – developing guiding principles identify strategic goals To be reviewed at the Council Chairs Meeting Nov. 10 	 Motion approve draft of workplan: moved (Bobby), second (Christina) Approved unanimously.
Strategic Plan :	Consideration of overarching questions -our tendency is to focus on credit students, by default makes them a priority, -how things apply differently to different subpopulations of students -acquiring education vs. completion -suggest following up with Tim and Casey about follow up on discussions on overarching questions broadening the sub group to include reps from non-credit and credit programs across campus	
Policy review	 Selective admissions (Guest MJ Kuhar) 2:00pm PT when through accreditation process last spring and team asked us about their selective admissions policy – how do you make changes Related policy (SAC) and language in the catalog seems to make most sense to add to existing proposed language was developed in collaboration with college attorney going to PTE deans, Learning Council 	•

	 -opportunity to have input and process for vetting and then back to SAC for final approval -Some language seems procedural –and needs to be included - could it be a related procedure (second paragraph) -concern between language in the catalogue (page 11) and COPPs should be consistent -discussed difference between selective admissions (application and weighted) and limited enrollment (complete list – first come first serve) – how to construct language so that it makes sense to the student -Nursing admissions – students intending to get into the program and are not numerically going to make the cut – are we are on the wrong side of line by knowing they won't get in and we encourage them to staywe do have guided conversations with students (pre-nursing and in the application process) and we can't prohibit students from staying on this track – -paragraph 1 appears to be policy, paragraph 2 is more procedural -language missing that some programs are competitive Student Government – consideration if the proposed changes should be reconsidered Bobby Kirkpatrick presented proposed changes. -Does this preclude non-activity fee/ASLCC members participation (i.e. hold office, vote). -stated on website that ASLCC membership is predicated on fee -holding office may have additional requirements (i.e. GPA) – and is codified in ASLCC grounding documents -Could non-credit students have a similar version? - legal relationship, does interacts with college, and exists because the college, a non-credit government would have to have permission from the college? -Does ASLCC have the authority to change itself? Have some such as number of employees and how many, number of senators. Do they have the authority to expand their membership? ~examples of past interest in of student government to sell bus passes and snack shack – college has authority, and compromise is a workable solution 	
Information Sharing	• All Council meeting postponed, there is an All-Council Chairs meeting November 10 (Kristina Holton will be attending)	•

Future A	genda	Items

- Student government policyStudent Affairs philosophy statement

NOTE: Documents for the meeting are available on the SAC portal of OrgSync. Adjourned at: 2:43

Next meeting: November 18, 1:00-3:00pm