**College Council Minutes**  
11/8/17, 2:00pm Boardroom (03/216)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Craig Taylor, Chris Rhen, Lori Wamsley, Victoria Rodriguez, Wilgen Brown, Jim Salt, Sara Fox, Brian Kelly, Dawn DeWolf, Jessica Alvarado, Margaret Hamilton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior Minutes Approval</td>
<td>Minutes of October 25 meeting pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Agenda Review | Added  
Academic Progress Standards |
| Academic Progress Standards | ASLCC representative stated that lately there has been a lot of complaints from the students, and it seems like the current process is outdated. She gave examples of the issues. Members talked about how the current processes and student complaint process work, and recommended to send question to Student Affairs Council and Learning Council. |
| Task Force to Lead Work on Bristow Square Incident (tabled from 10/25 meeting) | The task for this group will be to review current policies and procedures that deal with outside groups having events on campus. The recommendation will include communications, logistics, etc. Also have the task force group analyze why that incident happened. Members agreed to invite stakeholders and appoint two representatives per group. The appointing process should be finished by the meeting of November 22nd. |
| Work Plan | College Council’s Work Plan with Timeline and Due Dates |
| Review Core Themes (Brian request 10/25) | Chair asked VP of Operations to give a report on the items that he has volunteered to work on, because he had to leave the meeting earlier:  
**Subcommittee charter review**  
Budget Development subcommittee  
Alen Bahret  
Sara Fox  
Greg Holmes  
Brian Kelly  
Brett Rowlett  
Adrienne Mitchell  
Jim Salt  
Bobby Kirkpatrick – Chair  
Wilgen Brown  
Jennifer Steele  
Needs to be add a person from ASA person and replacement for Lori Wamsley.  
**Update positions in charters (Brian’s team, 10/25, will bring to CC)**  
VP of Operations presented the following update on the titles of the positions, with exception of the Health & Safety Director position in the Facilities council, it is not clear which current position should be used for that.  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Proposed Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VP Operations</td>
<td>VP College Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP OISS</td>
<td>VP Academic &amp; Student Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP or AVP OISS</td>
<td>VP Academic &amp; Student Affairs or Executive Dean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVP</td>
<td>Executive Dean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Safety Director</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AVP IT  
Strategic Planning & Budget Officer  
Diversity Coordinator | Chief Information Officer  
Director of Planning & Strategy  
Chief Diversity Officer |
Proposal: accept suggestions aligned with the current titles or positions be approved and the Health & Safety Director position be moved to Facilities Council to come back to this council for final approval.

President Hamilton requested an amendment: to exclude the interim position of Chief Diversity Officer as well because she is working on a name change.

Voting: approved

**Convene groups for feedback (Brian bringing proposed topic/calendar)**
At the last meeting members had talk about having staff forums to get feedback on the following topics. Below are times that he has find and have no conflicts with other events.

November 20, 3-4:30 pm  Bristow Square event (meeting re-schedule)
January 22, 2018, 3-4:30 pm  Governance system feedback
March 7, 2018, 2-3:30  Budget Forum
April 25, 2018, 2-4pm (CC time)  Institutional Effectiveness
May 14, 2018, 2-4 pm  Budget Forum

There was a clarification that this forums will be in addition to the staff survey.
The name of this forums will be “College Council Listening Tours”
Members requested to send announcement with plenty of time in advance, clarifying the topic, and announced in places where the students have access.
For the Bristol Square listening tour, they requested to have: public safety presence, phone numbers of support for survivors.
Brian Kelly volunteer to be the facilitator
Announcements for the listening tours will come from Chair and Vice Chair of College Council.

**Review Core Themes (Brian request 10/25)**
VP of Operations mentioned that this document needs to be approved, he expressed that he has not received any feedback on it as agreed in previous meetings. He mentioned that a reminded email was sent a couple of weeks ago with a link to the Core Themes web page.
A member objected to discuss this topic because the council practice is to have present the document during the discussion, and the document was not sent with the agenda for this meeting, he proposed to table this item.
Some members expressed that it was not a valid argument because this item has been brought to CC since last Spring.

Proposal: approve core themes as originally submitted.

Members stopped the conversation of this item until copies of the document were made for they review.

**In the meantime Chair brought up the items listed at the end of the minutes**

After reviewing the document, a lengthy discussion followed, it include: the history of the document; the work that other groups are doing based on these CT and indicators; discussions recorded in past minutes; reminder that the other councils have already approved these document; new members perspectives and questions; voting only on core themes and objectives and no indicators; integrity of the document as a whole; the fact that a two year discussion is not going to be resolved in two weeks more;

Chair reminded members of the proposal on the table, member remind her that he had moved to tabled it, and that takes precedent.
Voting: did not passed

Amendment to the proposal to table it: A very clear email be send out with this document attached asking people to look at it and bring changes to the next meeting or send via email. Lengthy discussion followed, it included: changes will affect the work of the Institutional Effectiveness Committee because it has been done based on this document and there is time to meet the timelines; CC has been kept informed all along this document was being developed; CC members have the right to change the document at any time; new member feeling her hands tide; reminded that the college has a warning; document might need to be updated because two years have passed; the document was created for this cycle for decision making, it doesn’t mean its old; have an addendum for future things to consider, that will honor the work being done; the work of other people does not mean that CC does not have the right to opposed, CC is not here to rub stamped documents; responsibility with constituencies to vote with consciousness; not requesting to rubber stamp is a process that has a deadline and was last year; clarification of decision making; explanation on the purpose of the indicator.

Voting to amendment: did not passed

Amendment to table item proposal was withdraw.

Decision making protocol to break lack of consensus was brought up. Explanation: it seems that at this point we are in phase two, so if today we do not reach consensus we will move to phase three.

Meeting run out of time.

**College Council subcommittees Charters**
Chair mentioned that in previous meeting the decision to develop a charter for each subcommittee was reach. Recommendation was to give a timeline. It should be given at least two meetings to complete the revision of the charters.

Proposal: time January 24, 2018
Voting: approved

**College Council representative for IEC**
Chris Rehn

Adjourn 3:30 pm