Section 11: External Peer Reviewer Comments and Recommendations

1. Program Information
    A. Are the core purpose and functions of the program clearly described?
        - Exemplary
        - Developing
        - Inadequate/Needs Attention

    Comments

    B. Is the program aligned with the college mission, core values, core themes and strategic directions?
        - Exemplary
        - Developing
        - Inadequate/Needs Attention

    Comments

    C. Does the program description provide evidence and understanding of:
        a) Academic offerings, program and curricular outcomes
            1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*
        b) Services offered
            1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*
        c) Enrollment, students, customers served
            1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*
        d) Faculty, staff and management organizational structure, credentials and staff development
            1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*
        e) Location, facilities and equipment
            1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*
        f) Funding, budget and financials
            1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*
        g) Partnerships, collaborations, advisory councils, associations and memberships
            1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*

h) Program certifications, accreditations and awards

       1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*

i) Substantive change since last review if applicable

       1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*

Comments

2. Environmental Scanning

A. Does the review demonstrate a depth of understanding of environmental issues and their impacts/potential impacts?

       1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention

B. Does the review explain relevant changes in the academic field/industry using evidence?

       1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention

C. Does the review explain how these changes will impact the program?

       1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention

D. Are there important environmental considerations missing from the analysis? (Please describe)

Comments

3. Key Performance Indicators

A. Do the indicators selected clearly and comprehensively address the program's core purpose?

       1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention

B. Do the indicators selected align with institutional indicators as appropriate? (e.g. core theme indicators, strategic direction goals)

       1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention

C. Is the data provided through the indicators clearly described and presented?

       1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention

D. Do the indicators effectively create a framework for benchmarking and evaluating program success?

       1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention

D. Do you recommend any additions, edits or deletions from the indicators presented?

Comments

4. Program Reflection and Assessment

   A. Does the review present prior recommendations and their status, if applicable?
   B. Does the review present strategies, projects and initiatives and description and evidence of their potential impact?
      > *1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*
   C. Does the review provide a thorough analysis of key performance indicators and trends?
      > *1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*
   D. Does the review provide a clear description and evidence of progress toward goals?
      1. *Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*

   Comments

5. SWOT/Current State Analysis

   A. Does the current state analysis present a clear picture of issues, strengths weaknesses, opportunities and threats?
      i. *1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*

   Comments

6. Key Findings and Program Opportunities

   A. Are key findings that arose from the analysis and review process clearly presented?
      i. *1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*

   B. Does the review provide a clear direction and vision for the program moving forward?
      i. *1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention*
   C. Does the review present specific strategies and recommendations for moving the program forward?

         i. 1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention

   D. Do the strategies and recommendations include priorities, feasibility analysis, required resources, and other considerations?

         i. 1. Exemplary 2. Developing 3. Inadequate/Needs Attention

   E. Is each strategy and recommendation discussed and justified in a previous section of the review?

         i. 1. Yes 2. Partially 3. No/Needs Attention

Comments

4. Reviewer Conclusions/ Recommendations