

Student Affairs Council

Meeting Notes from November 28, 2005

ATTENDANCE:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARCIA BELL | <input type="checkbox"/> ANDY PRICE |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NADINE WILLIAMS | <input type="checkbox"/> MARY PARTHEMER |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KAAREN O'ROURKE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARV CLEMONS |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DONNA KOECHIG | <input type="checkbox"/> MGR VACANCY |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHIRLEY LUKACS | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JEREMY RIEL | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

OUTCOMES:

DISCUSSION:

AGENDA:

1. **Approval of Minutes:**
Decision: Postponed until the next meeting.

2. **OUS Affordability Plan Discussion:**

Discussion:

- This document (also known as 'More Better Faster') was used in framing the Student Affairs Plan and aligns well with it.
- Donna Koechig will bring copies of it to the next meeting.

3. **Banner Issue Request from Bert Pooth:**

Discussion:

- This is an implementation issue and not appropriate for the Student Affairs Council.
- Jeremy will refer this to the Student Core Team and will respond to Bert with information about the referral.
- Student Affairs Council acknowledged that the Student Affairs Plan includes policy under which the resolution of this issue is guided.
- Student Affairs Council would look at policy implementation during its assessment process.

4. **Student Affairs Council Strategic Plan:**

Discussion:

- College Council approved the Student Affairs Plan without the following provisions. They indicated that these provisions could be reconsidered if their concerns were addressed.
 - Goal 1.1.c
 - Goal 2.1.b
- The concerns about Goal 1.1.c was that it is a bargaining issue since it was understood by College Council as an evaluative tool. However, the purpose that Student Affairs Council intended was not for evaluation but to provide information sharing between students about teaching styles, workload and work products required (tests, papers, etc.) Kaaren and Shirley will research what other schools are doing and will invite Dennis Carr to a Student Affairs Council meeting to discuss bargaining issues.

OUTCOMES:	DISCUSSION:
<p data-bbox="105 548 431 615">5. Student Affairs Plan Prioritization:</p> <p data-bbox="105 762 448 867">NEXT MEETING: December 12, 2005 from 1-2:50p in 5/206</p>	<ul data-bbox="597 258 1511 506" style="list-style-type: none">• The concern about Goal 2.1.b was that this did not align with current Board policy concerning the recruitment of international students. However, the purpose that Student Affairs Council intended was not to increase funding for recruitment but to use cost-neutral methods to increase enrollment and retention of international students. Donna and Marv will get the Board policy or resolution and draft new language clarifies consistency with it. <p data-bbox="548 541 691 573"><u>Discussion:</u></p> <ul data-bbox="597 583 1507 653" style="list-style-type: none">• Postponed until the next meeting. The Council will discuss each item separately and then prioritize.