



FACILITIES COUNCIL
MEETING NOTES
January 18, 2006, 3:00 p.m.
Bldg. 7/201

Present: Mason Davis, Dawn DeWolf, Robin Geyer, Glenn Goss, Happi Matthews,
Bob Mention, Margaret Robertson, Mike Ruiz, Susan Tatar
Guest: Craig Taylor

Absent: Karen Catlin, Sandy Ing-Wiese, Patrick Lanning, Marie Matsen, Tracy Simms

General: Margaret suggested and the committee agreed to keep an idea "parking lot" for topics that are brought up and need further discussion at future meetings.

1. Agree on Agenda

The committee agreed on the agenda.

2. Approve Minutes

Mason asked to clarify an item under Subgroup Reports in the minutes of the meeting on January 4. Under the subheading Sustainability in Construction, the group Marie was referring to should be identified as the Sustainability Core Group.

The minutes of January 4 were approved as amended.

3. Construction Guidelines -Approve

Mason introduced two actions for approval from the committee.

- Approve changes to the Facilities Council Policies as presented in the last meeting, changing wording in policy #3 and deleting policy #4.

There was no discussion. Dawn made a motion for adoption of the Facilities Council Policies as amended. Robin seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

- Mason moved, and Robin seconded, to adopt the revised Facilities Council Policies & Construction Priorities. Mason noted there were no comments submitted to him. Motion passed unanimously.

4. Demographics

Craig Taylor provided slides from Lane Council of Governments (LCOG) titled "Lane County Demographic Trends November 2004". Craig explained some of the questions to consider when looking at the charts, such as "What do they mean to us?" and "How might we use them?" He said to look at percents and numbers because the percent change could be substantial, but the numbers might not be. He also discussed an enrollment study done by a consortium of three community colleges, which showed that the principle factor affecting growth at Lane was Lane County growth, but he explained factors have changed since that study.

The council members discussed many issues including what other Lane C.C. entities including councils are looking at demographics, how they might be using data, and how the

Facilities Council might use data. The council members also discussed population and enrollment trends, various factors affecting enrollment, high school enrollment, the effect of online learning, facility capacity, and the learning centers.

Dawn mentioned increased interest for ABSE at Elmira and Junction City and that there were facilities implications for non-white and Hispanic populations. Craig provided information on professional technical and transfer enrollments. Council members discussed the condition of the Downtown Center facility and its use by ESL and other students.

Margaret added Enrollment Projections and CLC's vs. Main Campus to the idea "parking lot" for future discussion.

5. Collaboration with Other Councils

The council brainstormed about what the Facilities Council needs from other councils to help define policies. Some of the needs suggested were:

- a. Projections for population and enrollment growth
 - Information and strategies other councils are looking at
 - Budget impacts
 - Future process redesign for the college
- b. Education trends
 - Planning horizons for other councils
 - Program mix and projected needs
 - Distance learning vs. on-site
 - Technology changes – remodel potential
 - Diversity – what are the plans to serve diverse groups
- c. Planning horizons
 1. Learning Council's plan (bulleted points)
- d. Examples from other colleges (Information Margaret sent to members of the Facilities Council)
 1. Look at the Rhode Island plan with other councils
 2. Collaborate: compare and combine policies
 3. Take plans and look at how to come up with solutions
 4. Use work that has already been done (Learning Environment article, U. of Rhode Island plan)
- e. Avoid emphasis on Learning Council only. Facilities Council needs information from Student Affairs Council, Diversity Council and others.

6. Campus Design Discussions and Involving the Larger Campus Community

The last two agenda items were postponed to the next meeting.

7. Next Meeting

February 1, 3-5 p.m., Bldg. 7/201

Meeting adjourned at 4:55 p.m.

Submitted by: Susan Tatar