



FACILITIES COUNCIL
MEETING NOTES
April 24, 2007, 3:00 p.m.
Center Bldg. Rm. 407

Present: Samuel Burchell, Rob Dow, Bob Mention, Stephen Pruch, Margaret Robertson,
Mike Ruiz, Susan Tatar

Absent: Janet Anderson, Dawn DeWolf, Sandy Ing-Wiese, Robin Geyer, Glenn Goss,
Patrick Lanning

1. Agree on Agenda

Approved.

2. Approve Minutes

Minutes for April 10 were approved.

3. Announcements

Susan announced a program by LTD to send an employee transportation coordinator to the Association for Commuter Transportation (ACT) conference.

Stephen provided information about X-25, the CollegeNet space analysis tool that works in conjunction with Resource25. Stephen explained that the tool provides different graphs for analyzing space utilization and how classes are scheduled. Sam and Rob commented on students who have overlapping classes. Mike said the tool can help analyze whether we need more space if current space is not being utilized. Bob cautioned that the data has to be carefully interpreted.

In other announcements, Margaret noted that the current issue of *Planning for Higher Education* has articles on community colleges, Mike said that this month's issue of *Business Officer* had an article on student recognition for sustainability efforts, and Sam announced he is running for ASLCC vice president.

4. Accessibility

Margaret had three handouts:

- Article titled "Evolving Visions: Segregation, Integration, and Inclusion in the Design of Built Places" by UO authors Stanton Jones and Polly Welch.
- Summary of the sections in the Jones and Welch article.
- Examples of accessibility guidelines from other colleges and universities.

The articles explain universal access, provide examples, and discuss using ADA minimums versus design creativity. Margaret pointed out the University of Montana draft design plan includes wording that requires universal access and if not included, designers must explain why it is not included.

The committee discussed universal access on the Lane campus for different types of impairments. Margaret described how accessibility shouldn't draw attention to people with disabilities and hoped that the college thinks about students with visual impairments as well. Bob said ADA codes tend to be looked at as building codes issue and not as a civil rights issue.

There was consensus among those present that access is part of design guidelines and Margaret asked committee members to e-mail her any ideas about access.

5. Space Policy Subgroup

Discussion is deferred to the next meeting.

6. Suggested Agenda Items

None.

7. Next Meeting

May 8, 2007, 3:00-5:00 p.m., Center 407

Meeting adjourned at 3:50 p.m.

Design Guidelines Subgroup did not meet.

Submitted by: Susan Tatar